

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/558,169	11/22/2005	Guenther Baschek	2003P03453WOUS	1917
22116 SIEMENS CO	7590 02/02/201 R POR ATION	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD A VENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			ENIN-OKUT, EDUE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		1795	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/558,169	BASCHEK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Edu E. Enin-Okut	1795	
	10/558,169 Examiner	10/558,169 BASCHEK ET AL. Examiner Art Unit

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 15 Januar	v 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
 - how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed:
 - Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 12,16 and 20-30.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. ☐ Other: .
- /Dah-Wei D. Yuan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Edu E. Enin-Okut/ Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Continuation of 3

NOTE:

As to applicants' arguments filed on January 15, 2010 with respect the Suzuki reference, it is noted that "[t]he use of patents as references is not limited to what the patentes describe as their own inventions or to the problems with which they are concerned. They are part of the literature of the art, relevant for all they contain." In re Heck, 699 F.2d 1331, 1332-33, 216 USPQ 1038, 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1983), A reference may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill the rulculding non-preferred embodiments. Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories, 874 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ2d 1843 (Fed. Cir.), cert, denied, 493 U.S. 795 (1989); Uspsher-Smith Labs. v. Pamilab, L.C., 41E F.3d 1319, 1323, 75 USPQ2d 1213, 1215 (Fed. Cir.), cert, denied, 493 U.S. 795 (1989); Organization of a particular component teaches compositions that both do and do not contain that component). See MPEP 2123 (I). Further, in response to applicants' arguments against the Suzuki reference individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091; 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

In addition, it is also noted that the Suzuki reference teaches "...The first hollow ridges and the second hollow ridges (of a separator unit composed of a first separator (with first hollow ridges and troughs) and a second separator (with sex hollow ridges and troughs) are not limited to any shapes insofar as they are shaped to allow the first and second troughs that communicate with each other to provide the communication passages for cooling water[emphasis added)..." (see Suzuki, 3:2-15.4, 1-4.). The reference also states that the bent and curved shapes depicted in its figures presented are preferred embodiments (see Suzuki, 4:5-50). Further, as to coolant flowing "laterally," Suzuki also teaches that "...the first troughs and the second troughs communicating with each other through the spaced portions of the crest surfaces of the first hollow ridges and the crest surfaces of the second hollow ridges, so that a coolant can flow through the first troughs and the social troughs and the social troughs and the variable suzuki, 3:2-5.9. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that the coolant described in Suzuki moves laterally, as shown in the labeled figure from the reference presented on p. 4 of the previous Office Action.